EXPRESS MAIL: EX 494032247 US PATENT APPLICATION Docket: 15436.29.1.2 NOV 3 0 2004 TATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of: Aronson, et al. Art Unit Serial No.: 10/629,253 2874 Filed: July 28, 2003 For: SMALL FORM FACTOR TRANSCEIVER WITH EXTERNALLY MODULATED LASER Examiner: Jennifer Doan Customer No. 022913

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAIL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

I hereby certify that on this 2014 day of November, 2004, the following documents are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop: Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450:

- Transmittal Letter (1 p.) in duplicate;
- Response to Election of Species Requirement (3 pp.); and
- Postcard.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia A. Barben

Assistant to Peter F. Malen

Workman Nydegger

PFM:pb

NOV 3 0 2004 & T

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of		
	Aronson, et al.	
Serial No.:	10/629,253	Art Unit
Filed:	July 28, 2003	2874
Conf. No.:	6707	
For:	SMALL FORM FACTOR TRANSCEIVER WITH) EXTERNALLY MODULATED LASER	
Examiner:	Jennifer Doan)	
Customer No.:	022913	

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response to Election of Species Requirement (3 pp.); Certificate of Express Mailing (1 p.); and a Return Postcard for entry in the above-identified application.

DATED this **3074** day of November, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 45,576

Customer No. 022913

PFM:pb

PATENT APPLICATION Docket: 15436.29.1.2



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
	Aronson, et al.))
Serial No.:	10/629,253)) >
Filing Date:	July 28, 2003) Art Unit) 2874
Confirmation No.:	6707)) `
For:	SMALL FORM FACTOR TRANSCEIVER WITH EXTERNALLY MODULATED LASER)))
Examiner:	Jennifer Doan))
Customer No.:	022913))

MAIL STOP: AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

In the Office Action mailed November 17, 2004 (the "Office Action"), the Examiner set forth an Election of Species Requirement that identified what the Examiner has characterized as seven (7)

patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. In particular, the Examiner suggested in the Office Action that the present application contains claims directed to the following seven Species:

- a) Embodiment I: figures 1A and 1B;
- b) Embodiment II: figures 2A and 2B;
- c) Embodiment III: figures 3A and 3B;
- d) Embodiment IV: figures 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D and 4E;
- e) Embodiment V: figure 4F;
- f) Embodiment VI: figure 5; and
- g) Embodiment VII: figure 6.

Responsive to the Election of Species Requirement set forth in the Office Action, Applicant hereby elects Embodiment VII (Figure 6) for examination, without traverse. Claims 1-12, at least, are readable on Embodiment VII (Figure 6). Moreover, Applicant believes that claims 1-12 are likewise readable on Figure 7 of the application, which was not addressed by the Examiner in the Election of Species Requirement.

Applicant's election herein is made without prejudice. As noted by the Examiner, upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141.

-2-

An action on the merits of all the claims and a Notice of Allowance thereof are respectfully requested. In the event that the Examiner wishes to discuss any of the matters contemplated hereby, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone conversation with the undersigned.

DATED this 30 H day of November, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

PETER F. MALEN JR. Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 45,576 Customer No.: 022913

PFM:pb